Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/617,070	NEVILLE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Diana B. Johannsen	1634
All Participants: Status of Application: pending		
(1) <u>Diana B. Johannsen</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mary Ann Brow</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 July 2006	Time: N/A (telephonic)	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A	·	
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G	SENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of all issues. A brief sure 	n. The examiner will provide a writ	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
·		
Diara B	NIA	
	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: As had been agreed upon during the interview of 26 May 2006, the examiner contacted applicants' representative to inquire as to whether applicants' representative wished to file any additional amendments or arguments prior to examination of the application. Applicants' representative indicated that she did not wish to file anything further..